

INTRODUCTION

Background: In vitro screening is frequently used in oncology to quickly identify responsive cells, models, and pharmacodynamic (PD) effects. However it cannot be applied to immunotherapy because of the lack of a complex host immune system. Alternatively, in vivo screening with a panel of immuno-competent models is required to investigate stimulation of the immune system, but it is both resource intensive and cost prohibitive. Syngeneic tumor models are robust model systems for cancer immunotherapy and are amenable to immuno-profiling of both monotherapy and combination studies. Here we describe the use of a panel of syngeneic models in a large scale, staggered screen (MuScreen), which allows cost-effective, in vivo drug discovery profiling. Material and methods: Leveraging our in-house detailed profiling data from our syngeneic models, which include efficacy benchmarking with aPD-1, aPD-L1, and aCTLA-4 antibodies, RNAseq data on tumor samples, and FACS analysis on both baseline and treated tumor samples, CrownBio recently launched a new service platform: MuScreen. MuScreen consists of a 3 month screening run on either 12 or 20 well-characterized syngeneic models. Both PD and efficacy can be evaluated in the same run, which provides results from large data sets facilitating go or no-go decisions. Test agents from multiple clients are pooled together in each run (sharing vehicle and other common groups) providing a significant reduction in the number of animals used and in the associated costs.

Results: CrownBio has established the largest collection of syngeneic models with wellen run, we have generated new data on characterized immunotherapy data. In the first MuScr common immuno-oncology (IO) agents, e.g. aPD-1 antibodies, including FACS analysis, IHC, and efficacy data (shown here)

Conclusions: MuScreen is the first *in vivo* screening tool to profile cancer immunotherapeutics across adaptive and innate immune cell lineages. It provides detailed response data from a panel of syngeneic models, enabling decision making in a cost-effective and timely manner.

METHODS

Syngeneic model establishment and treatment: A predetermined number of cells suspended in 0.1ml PBS were inoculated within the right flank of immunocompetent mice (C57BL/6, BALB/c, etc.). Each experimental group contained 6-10 staged tumor bearing mice.

Endpoints:

- TGI(%): TGI(%)=100x(1-T/C); represented as the median TGI of multiple historical studies.
- 2. Untreated tumors at 250-350mm³ were collected for RNAseq analysis; RNAseq data is [®] at mubase.crownbio.com. available via MuBase
- 3. Efficacy/PD studies testing checkpoint inhibitors:
- \succ Hepa 1-6 murine liver cancer model (efficacy study): treatment initiated ~ 100mm³.
- > H22 murine liver cancer model (efficacy study): treatment initiated ~ 100 mm³; tumors were collected on Day 18 (3 days post the 4th dose of aPD-1 antibody).
- > EMT-6 murine breast cancer model (PD study): treatment started at around 280mm³; tumors were collected on Day 18 (7 days post the 2nd dose of aPD-1 antibody).

MuScreenTM: In Vivo Screening with a Panel of Well-Characterized Syngeneic Models

Ying Jin, Lan Zhang, Juan Zhang, Eric Murphy, Zhongliang Li, Meng Qiao, Qian Shi Cancer Pharmacology, Crown Bioscience, Inc., Santa Clara, CA

RESULTS



Table 1. Validated Immuno-Oncology Markers (FACS/IHC).

Immune cells		Human	Mouse	models)	
B cell		CD19 or CD20	CD45R/B220	Cancer Type	Model
T Cell	Total T Cell	CD3	CD3	Bladder	MBT-2
	Helper T Cell	CD4	CD4	Breast	4T1 (ortho), EMT-6 (s.c.)
	Cytotoxic T Cell	CD8	CD8 , CD69	Colon	CT-26, MC38
	T _{rea}	CD25, FOXP3	CD25, FOXP3	Kidney	Renca
Dendritic Cell		CD11c, CD123	CD11c, CD123	Leukemia	L1210
NK Cell		CD56	CD335 , CD69	Liver	H22
Macrophage		CD14, CD33,	CD11b, F4/80, CD206, MHCII	Lung	KLN205, LL/2
		CD68		Lymphoma	A20, EL4, L5178-R, P338D1
Monocyte		CD14, CD33	CD11b		
Neutrophil			Ly-6G/C	Melanoma	B16-BL6 ,B16-F10
MDSC		CD11b, CD33	CD11b , Ly-6G/C , Gr-1	Myeloma	MPC-11
				Pancreatic	Pan02
Checkpoint		PD-L1, PD-1	PD-L1 , PD-1	Prostate	RM-1

Note: validated by FACS and IHC

Figure 2. Newly Validated Murine Syngeneic Models



2400 2000 1600 1200 80 10

Table 2. Syngeneic Models with RNAseq Data (19

Figure 3. Efficacy/PD Evaluation of aPD-1 Antibody in Subcutaneous H22 and EMT-6 Murine Syngeneic Models and Immunophenotyping by FACS (data generated in the first MuScreen campaign).





Subcutaneous Hepa 1-6 Murine Liver Caner Model

Subcutaneous B16-F0 Melanoma Model



Subcutaneous B16-F1 Melanoma Model





SUMMARY

• MuScreen is a cost-effective and time efficient in vivo screening platform using a panel of syngeneic models to evaluate efficacy and explore potential mechanisms of action by immunotherapeutics.

• Hepa 1-6 is sensitive to the three common IO checkpoint targets and represents an ideal liver cancer model to test novel IO monotherapies.

 Immuno-phenotyping in a large in vivo PD screen (MuScreen) enables confirmation of immune cell lineage modulation, selection of appropriate syngeneic models for follow-up studies, and greater understanding of mechanisms of immunosuppression.